



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/893,441	06/29/2001	Henrik F. Bernheim	HAR66 824	6370

7590

10/25/2006

Duane Morris LLP  
1667 K Street, NW  
Suite 700  
Washington,, DC 20006

EXAMINER
----------

MURPHY, RHONDA L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2616

DATE MAILED: 10/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

sf

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/893,441	BERNHEIM ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Rhonda Murphy	2616	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Rhonda Murphy. (3) Ryan Hardy.  
 (2) Patrick Muldoon. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 18 October 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 25 and 38.

Identification of prior art discussed: Sinha et al. (US 6,944,188).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The claim limitation "a redundant communication link established between the remote communication link interface at the node and the redundant communication link interface at the hub" was discussed. Applicant believes the Sinha reference fails to teach the claim limitation. However, Examiner disagrees and believes the claim limitations, as written, are met by the Sinha reference.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
 Examiner's signature, if required